## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAN	ſΝ	W	DΙ	יעוק	n
DAN	IIN	IΥ	ĸι	עם עי	IJ.

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 18-03266

DET. EDWARD KEPPOL, et al.,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 27th day of January 2020, upon consideration of Plaintiff Reed's Motion *in Limine* (ECF No. 42), Defendants' Response (ECF No. 44) and after hearing oral argument (ECF No. 57), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.

- To the extent the Motion seeks to exclude evidence of Reed's 2019 convictions, the Motion is **DENIED** as moot.
- To the extent the Motion seeks to exclude evidence of Reed's convictions from 1997 and 2002, his status of incarceration, and video surveillance, the Motion is GRANTED.

BY THE COURT:

<u>/s/ Gerald J. Pappert</u> GERALD J. PAPPERT, J.